



Etienne van Rattingen

# Global Warming Hoax

At Last – Solar Minimum Discussions Begin



It is amazing to me that we are still in the Stone Age when it comes to forecasting even weather. You are correct. This is **NOT** based upon a personal intuition or opinion. I am merely reporting what the computer is forecasting and why. Sorry, nobody speaks to me in my dreams or anything. I cannot even pretend that my opinion is better than someone else's. There is no room for personal opinions in such matters.

Nevertheless, at last, there are people finally starting to talk about solar minimum and the **DEEP FREEZE**. There has been extremely cold weather, a **WARNING** that is finally being heard. Solar minimum has **ARRIVED** and could last for [more than a DECADE](#) , as many are starting to warn. The problem with this reality is that weather is impacted by Mother Nature. Then people like *Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez* demand higher taxes to create her Green New Deal outlawing air travel. Even the *National Geographic* is starting to remember the [Deep Freeze of 1709](#) . Even the BBC was forecasting a [Deep Freeze](#) . Others were [calling it](#) the “‘Beast from the East’ to plunge Europe into a historic deep freeze.”

**Let us see how this all started?**

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, ‘geheime’ clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



**Etienne van Rattingen**

## **Magnetic Poles: their impact**

While the speed of the earth's magnetic north pole shift has drastically sped up lately to about 34 miles per year (55 km), let's look at what is happening with the south magnetic pole.

Every magnetic field has two polarities, North and South for example, and one might think that whatever is happening with one pole would be happening (in the inverse?) to it's opposite pole.

Well as it appears, the earth's magnetic south pole is not behaving similarly to it's opposite north pole. In fact, it's drift, or pole shift, is actually slowing down! Presently it's only moving 3 miles (5 km) per year, only a tenth the speed of the north!


Pole coordinate data sourced from [NOAA's National Geophysical Data Center](#):

- <http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/geomag/GeomagneticPoles.shtml>
- <http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/geomag/data/poles/NP.xy>
- <http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/geomag/data/poles/SP.xy>

Not only that, but it is interesting to note that both the north and south magnetic poles are favoring one side of the earth - the south pole is heavily favoring one side, and continues to move further away from true south.

The south magnetic pole is actually 1,800 miles (2,900 km) away from the earth's true south pole! That is a substantial offset.

The north magnetic pole is fairly near true north and is 'only' about 360 miles (580 km) away.



earth-magnetic-north-360-miles-from-true-north-pole

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, 'geheime' clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



## Etienne van Rattingen

When the earth is visualized with its magnetic poles more offset and favored towards one side of the planet than the other (which it has been for some time - although the south magnetic pole is moving even further to the favored side), coupled with the large variance in shift speed between the north and south, explanations may seem bizarre.

Since we know that the earth's magnetic field is generated from the spinning liquid molten iron Outer Core (encapsulating a solid iron Inner Core), is one part of the Outer core churning differently than the other?

Could the Outer Core, or part of it, be offset somehow, causing the magnetic pole axis to pass through one side of the planet more than the other? Wouldn't that cause the planet to wobble?


Is the iron consistency changing in one part of the Outer Core more than the other?

If the Outer Core is 'centered' with the rest of the earth, and the molten iron composition is considered to be relatively consistent, do these observations indicate that the magnetic axis is actually bending or warping as it passes through the planet?

These are all interesting things to think about (for some of us), and while being just a logical minded casual observer, there is no doubt that these earth changes are powerful ones - even if only occurring relative to the time frame of the planets life.

Take a look at the following graph and see the extreme difference in North versus South Pole Shift speed since about 1930. As they say, a picture's worth a thousand words...

Something's [happening up north](#)...




**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, 'geheime' clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



**Etienne van Rattingen**

graph-comparison-north-south-pole-shift




420-year-graph-of-annual-magnetic-south-pole-shift

As you can see, I spent a lot of time on graphics today.


Observe the magnetic pole axis tilt, and the fairly precise indication to the part of the planet that is favored more-so by proximity to the magnetic axis.

Could crustal movements, earthquakes and volcanoes be affected differently on this side of the planet than the other? The location is certainly right on top of the pacific ring of fire, and very near the general vicinity of Indonesia (most active volcanoes in the world), and located in the part of the world that gets the majority of earthquakes. It could purely coincidental, but who's to say...




magnetic-north-south-pole-axis

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, 'geheime' clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



Etienne van Rattigen



magnetic-poles-favor-pacific-ocean

Checked your compass lately??

## Update

The following excerpt from a *Reader E-mail*, helps clarify what is happening underneath:

The liquid part of the earth's core is the outer core, the inner core is believed to consist of *solid iron*, nickel and traces of heavy elements. An assumption that many people make, is that the transition between the inner and outer core is smooth like the transition between the layers of an onion. This is completely incorrect, the transition between these two structures is very complex, being a mixture of solid, liquid and in-between states which may be 10s or hundreds of miles thick. This, and the [Coriolis effect](#) gives rise to very complex eddy currents within the liquid outer core which in turn create a very complex magnetic field which is constantly changing. Many texts approximate the earth's magnetic field as a bar magnet located between the two geographic poles, but this is an over simplification with the true magnetic field being very hard to describe. It is true that at this time the predominant field direction in the northern hemisphere is north, but the strength of this field varies significantly from location to location. If you investigate the magnetic field you will find that there are areas of *north polarity* (and always have been) in the southern hemisphere and likewise areas of *south polarity* in the northern hemisphere.

Let us go on with our discovery:

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, 'geheime' clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



Etienne van Rattingen

# Al Gore his lies are his PROFITS!

There is a serious question—that no one wants to address. How did Al Gore create the global warming scare and earn hundreds of millions of dollars in the process? Before Al Gore, science was worried deeply about what we are experiencing today — global cooling. On April 28, 1975, *Newsweek* magazine published an article in which they sounded the alarm bell and proposed solutions to deliberately melt the ice caps:

## SCIENCE

### The Cooling World

There are ominous signs that the earth's weather patterns have begun to change dramatically and that these changes may portend a drastic decline in food production—with serious political implications for just about every nation on earth. The drop in food output could begin quite soon, perhaps only ten years from now. The regions destined to feel its impact are the great wheat-producing lands of Canada and the U.S.S.R. in the north, along with a number of marginally self-sufficient tropical areas—parts of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Indochina and Indonesia—where the growing season is dependent upon the rains brought by the monsoon.

The evidence in support of these predictions has now begun to accumulate so massively that meteorologists are hard-


reduce agricultural productivity for the rest of the century. If the climatic change is as profound as some of the pessimists fear, the resulting famines could be catastrophic. "A major climatic change would force economic and social adjustments on a worldwide scale," warns a recent report by the National Academy of Sciences, "because the global patterns of food production and population that have evolved are implicitly dependent on the climate of the present century."

A survey completed last year by Dr. Murray Mitchell of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration reveals a drop of half a degree in average ground temperatures in the Northern Hemisphere between 1945 and 1968. According to George Kukla of Columbia University, satellite photos indicated a sudden, large increase in Northern Hemisphere snow cover in the winter of 1971-72. And

ic change is at least as fragmentary as our data," concedes the National Academy of Sciences report. "Not only are the basic scientific questions largely unanswered, but in many cases we do not yet know enough to pose the key questions."

**Extremes:** Meteorologists think that they can forecast the short-term results of the return to the norm of the last century. They begin by noting the slight drop in over-all temperature that produces large numbers of pressure centers in the upper atmosphere. These break up the smooth flow of westerly winds over temperate areas. The stagnant air produced in this way causes an increase in extremes of local weather such as droughts, floods, extended dry spells, long freezes, delayed monsoons and even local temperature increases—all of which have a direct impact on food supplies.

"The world's food-producing system," warns Dr. James D. McQuigg of NOAA's Center for Climatic and Environmental Assessment, "is much more sensitive to




pressed to keep up with it. In England, farmers have seen their growing season decline by about two weeks since 1950, with a resultant over-all loss in grain production estimated at up to 100,000 tons annually. During the same time, the average temperature around the equator has risen by a fraction of a degree—a fraction that in some areas can mean drought and desolation. Last April, in the most devastating outbreak of tornadoes ever recorded, 148 twisters killed more than 300 people and caused half a billion dollars' worth of damage in thirteen U.S. states.

**Trend:** To scientists, these seemingly disparate incidents represent the advance signs of fundamental changes in the world's weather. The central fact is that after three quarters of a century of extraordinarily mild conditions, the earth's climate seems to be cooling down. Meteorologists disagree about the cause and extent of the cooling trend, as well as over its specific impact on local weather conditions. But they are almost unanimous in the view that the trend will

a study released last month by two NOAA scientists notes that the amount of sunshine reaching the ground in the continental U.S. diminished by 1.3 per cent between 1964 and 1972.

To the layman, the relatively small changes in temperature and sunshine can be highly misleading. Reid Bryson of the University of Wisconsin points out that the earth's average temperature during the great Ice Ages was only about 7 degrees lower than during its warmest eras—and that the present decline has taken the planet about a sixth of the way toward the Ice Age average. Others regard the cooling as a reversion to the "little ice age" conditions that brought bitter winters to much of Europe and northern America between 1600 and 1900—years when the Thames used to freeze so solidly that Londoners roasted oxen on the ice and when iceboats sailed the Hudson River almost as far south as New York City.

Just what causes the onset of major and minor ice ages remains a mystery. "Our knowledge of the mechanisms of climat-



the weather variable than it was even five years ago." Furthermore, the growth of world population and creation of new national boundaries make it impossible for starving peoples to migrate from their devastated fields, as they did during past famines.

Climatologists are pessimistic that political leaders will take any positive action to compensate for the climatic change, or even to allay its effects. They concede that some of the more spectacular solutions proposed, such as melting the arctic ice cap by covering it with black soot or diverting arctic rivers, might create problems far greater than those they solve. But the scientists see few signs that government leaders anywhere are even prepared to take the simple measures of stockpiling food or of introducing the variables of climatic uncertainty into economic projections of future food supplies. The longer the planners delay, the more difficult will they find it to cope with climatic change once the results become grim reality.

—PETER GWYNNE with bureau reports

Newsweek, April 28, 1975


De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, 'geheime' clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven



## Etienne van Rattingen

*“Climatologists are pessimistic that political leaders will take any positive action to compensate for the climatic change, or even to allay its effects. They concede that some of the more spectacular solutions proposed, such as melting the arctic ice cap by covering it with black soot or diverting arctic rivers, might create problems far greater than those they solve. But the scientists see few signs that government leaders anywhere are even prepared to take the simple measures of stockpiling food or of introducing variables of climate uncertainty into economic projections of future food supplies.”*

This sounds very similar to today’s proposed solution of putting particles in the atmosphere to deflect the sunlight to reduce global warming. Indeed, *TIME* magazine’s January 31, 1977, cover featured the cover story, “[The Big Freeze](#).” They reported that scientists were predicting that Earth’s average temperature could drop by 20 degrees Fahrenheit. Their cited cause was, of course, that humans created global cooling. Then suddenly the climate cycles shifted and it began to warm up.



There was this core group of people who seemed to enjoy all the attention they were gathering by predicting the end of civilization caused by humans. As the temperatures began to warm, suddenly they had to switch the dire forecasts from global cooling caused by humans to global warming caused by humans.

Al Gore came to the rescue. Global cooling meant that government should stockpile food for everyone, but that would cost money. Switching to global warming would create a different agenda that they were familiar with. Like smoking, they could tax it to HELP people. Of course, when they did stop and tax revenues

began to decline, they introduced taxes on e-cigarettes and didn’t try to deter people from smoking.

With global warming, they could tax everyone for things they did every day from driving a car to heating and cooling their homes. Suddenly, global warming was a lot more profitable for government than global cooling. The alarm bell stopped ringing that warned of a continued global cooling, seen between 1945 and 1968, that was creating a new Ice Age.

Al Gore took the position of Vice President under President Bill Clinton. In that capacity, with Bill Clinton chasing women, Hillary became the de facto President and Al Gore was given free rein. No other Vice President enjoyed that power until Dick Cheney under George Bush, Jr.

Al Gore took the position of Vice President under President Bill Clinton. In that capacity, with Bill Clinton chasing women, Hillary became the de facto President and Al Gore was given free rein. No other Vice President enjoyed that power until Dick Cheney under George Bush, Jr.

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, ‘geheime’ clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



## Etienne van Rattingen

Gore set out to enact policies that would alter government and our future by placing humankind in harm's way. Gore directed all funding to ensure that the climate change agenda became a top priority for the United States Government. Gore created the **President's Council on Sustainable Development**. The Charter was revised on April 25, 1997, and the "Scope of Activities" was dramatically altered. Gore directed that the agenda was to be **EXCLUSIVELY** a global warming agenda to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. He claimed there would be **NO DEBATE** regarding the science behind the new agenda. Gore deliberately [silenced all opposition](#).

The **President's Council on Sustainable Development** was to focus **EXCLUSIVELY** on reducing greenhouse gas emissions by adopting the U.S. economicThere is a serious question that no one wants to address. How did Al Gore create the global warming scare and earn hundreds of millions of dollars in the process? Before Al Gore, science was worried deeply about what we are experiencing today — global cooling. On April 28, 1975, Newsweek magazine published an article in which they sounded the alarm bell and proposed solutions to deliberately melt the ice caps:

*“Climatologists are pessimistic that political leaders will take any positive action to compensate for the climatic change, or even to allay its effects. They concede that some of the more spectacular solutions proposed, such as melting the arctic ice cap by covering it with black soot or diverting arctic rivers, might create problems far greater than those they solve. But the scientists see few signs that government leaders anywhere are even prepared to take the simple measures of stockpiling food or of introducing variables of climate uncertainty into economic projections of future food supplies.”*

This sounds very similar to today's proposed solution of putting particles in the atmosphere to deflect the sunlight to reduce global warming. Indeed, TIME magazine's January 31, 1977, cover featured the cover story, "The Big Freeze." They reported that scientists were predicting that Earth's average temperature could drop by 20 degrees Fahrenheit. Their cited cause was, of course, that humans created global cooling. Then suddenly the climate cycles shifted and it began to warm up.

There was this core group of people who seemed to enjoy all the attention they were gathering by predicting the end of civilization caused by humans. As the temperatures began to warm, suddenly they had to switch the dire forecasts from global cooling caused by humans to global warming caused by humans.

*Al Gore came to the rescue. Global cooling meant that government should stockpile food for everyone, but that would cost money. Switching to global warming would create a different agenda that they were familiar with. Like smoking, they could tax it to HELP people. Of course, when they did stop and tax revenues began to decline, they introduced taxes on e-cigarettes and didn't try to deter people from smoking.*

With global warming, they could tax everyone for things they did every day from driving a car to heating and cooling their homes. Suddenly, global warming was a lot more profitable for govern-

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, 'geheime' clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



## Etienne van Rattingen

ment than global cooling. The alarm bell stopped ringing that warned of a continued global cooling, seen between 1945 and 1968, that was creating a new Ice Age.

Al Gore took the position of Vice President under President Bill Clinton. In that capacity, with Bill Clinton chasing women, Hillary became the de facto President and Al Gore was given free rein. No other Vice President enjoyed that power until Dick Cheney under George Bush, Jr.

Gore set out to enact policies that would alter government and our future by placing humankind in harm's way. Gore directed all funding to ensure that the climate change agenda became a top priority for the United States Government. Gore created the President's Council on Sustainable Development. The Charter was revised on April 25, 1997, and the "Scope of Activities" was dramatically altered. Gore directed that the agenda was to be EXCLUSIVELY a global warming agenda to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. He claimed there would be NO DEBATE regarding the science behind the new agenda. Gore deliberately silenced all opposition.

The President's Council on Sustainable Development was to focus EXCLUSIVELY on reducing greenhouse gas emissions by adopting the U.S. economy to his agenda. The Council shifted from economic development to environmental development even though it would reduce economic development. Gore flipped the purpose of the Council to a global warming and then set about his agenda to create a crisis to increase government control and power. That can only happen when there is a crisis, which Gore then manufactured.

To pull off the new agenda, Gore's strategy set out to purge the government of anyone who disagreed or opposed his agenda in any way. He instilled, not the fear of God, but the fear of Gore throughout the high-ranking government officials in the agencies that included the Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency, National Science Foundation, Department of Education, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Their funding would be cut unless they adopted Gore's agenda.

***When physicist Dr. William Happer, who was the Director of Energy Research at the Department of Energy, testified before Congress in 1993 in disagreement with Al Gore, he was instantly fired. Harper would later comment: "I had the privilege of being fired by Al Gore since I refused to go along with his alarmism. I did not need the job that badly."***

Al Gore's propaganda machine has been amazing. From the position of vice president, he changed the entire world while Bill was preoccupied with the line of girls waiting for their turn in the White House. His net worth exploded from \$2 million to an estimated \$300 million. His movie, An Inconvenient Truth, even made him \$24 million with its **apocalyptic forecasts that are an embarrassment today**. He went on to win the Nobel Peace Prize in 2007, **which is awarded not for peace, but whatever agenda they want to push at any given moment**. He was awarded that prize jointly with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) **"for their efforts to build up and dis-**

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, 'geheime' clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



**Etienne van Rattingen**

***seminate greater knowledge about man-made climate change, and to lay the foundations for the measures that are needed to counteract such change.”***

What is really astonishing is that Al Gore is neither a scientist nor a climatologist. Yet, Gore is considered the leading expert despite the fact that Gore’s climate change agenda was nothing but a fraud and deliberately imposed to increase government power to his agenda. The Council shifted from economic development to environmental development even though it would reduce economic development. Gore flipped the purpose of the Council to a global warming and then set about his agenda to create a crisis to increase government control and power. That can only happen when there is a crisis, which Gore then manufactured.

To pull off the new agenda, Gore’s strategy set out to purge the government of anyone who disagreed or opposed his agenda in any way. He instilled, not the fear of God, but the fear of Gore throughout the high-ranking government officials in the agencies that included the Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency, National Science Foundation, Department of Education, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Their funding would be cut unless they adopted Gore’s agenda.

When physicist Dr. William Happer, who was the Director of Energy Research at the Department of Energy, testified before Congress in 1993 in disagreement with Al Gore, he was **instantly fired** Harper would later comment: ***“I had the privilege of being fired by Al Gore since I refused to go along with his alarmism. I did not need the job that badly.”***

Al Gore’s propaganda machine has been amazing. From the position of vice president, he changed the entire world while Bill was preoccupied with the line of girls waiting for their turn in the White House. His net worth exploded from \$2 million to an estimated **\$300 million** . His movie, *An Inconvenient Truth*, even made him \$24 million with its apocalyptic forecasts that are an embarrassment today. He went on to win the **Nobel Peace Prize** in 2007, which is awarded not for peace, but whatever agenda they want to push at any given moment. He was awarded that prize jointly with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) ***“for their efforts to build up and disseminate greater knowledge about man-made climate change, and to lay the foundations for the measures that are needed to counteract such change.”***


**What is really astonishing is that Al Gore is neither a scientist nor a climatologist. Yet, Gore is considered the leading expert despite the fact that Gore’s climate change agenda was nothing but a fraud and deliberately imposed to increase government power.**

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, ‘geheime’ clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



Etienne van Rattingen

## Professor Easterbrook Testified Before Congress with Real Data Showing Global Warming Ended in 1998



[The link for the 1:30 presentation from Prof Don Easterbrook](#), Crashing the Global Warming Party. Don't you want to know why this was silenced by all media? Do you have an idea why it had to be silenced? Still convinced Global Warming is not a HOAX?

### Let's see:

Professor Don Easterbrook, who teaches geology at Western Washington University, testified before Congress that climate change is cyclical. Easterbrook made it clear that he was not politically motivated or funded by any big industry. In fact, he has 50 years of experience and provided the data to support his claims. The professor has been speaking out against human-driven climate change theories since 1998, stating, "Two cycles of global warming and two of global cooling have occurred during the past century, and the global cooling that has occurred since 1998 is exactly in phase with the long term pattern."

As I have mentioned numerous times, cyclical patterns of global cooling/warming have occurred throughout recorded history. "Global cooling occurred from 1880 to ~1915; global warming occurred from ~1915 to ~1945; global cooling occurred from ~1945-1977; global warming occurred from 1977 to 1998; and global cooling has occurred since 1998. All of these global climate changes show exceptionally good correlation with solar variation since the Little Ice Age 400 years ago,"

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, 'geheime' clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**



**Etienne van Rattingen**

Easterbrook proclaimed. As this winter has shown, we are currently in a period of global cooling and not global warming.

Despite the evidence that states this is a naturally occurring phenomenon, politicians are more concerned about warming their pockets with taxpayer dollars than addressing the real issues. Unsurprisingly, the real concerns that need to be addressed have fallen on deaf ears. Easterbrook pleaded,

**“The real question now is not trying to reduce atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> as a means of stopping global warming, but rather (1) how can we best prepare to cope with the 30 years of global cooling that is coming, (2) how cold will it get, and (3) how can we cope with the cooling during a time of exponential population increase?”**

Will these legitimate concerns be addressed by politicians or the Yellow Vest movement that claims to care so much about the people? Unlikely.

**But at least it shows how corrupt journalists and politicians are!**

**De houding van de (EU) politiek, wetenschap, religie, ‘geheime’ clubjes, denktanks en bank maffia naar mij toe is even beledigend als alle woorden die ik gebruik om hun houding te omschrijven**