



Etienne van Rattingen

MH-17 CITIZEN REPORT

UKRAINE DID IT, NETHERLANDS AND USA ARE RESPONSIBLE!

The full MH17 case will be presented here, to be judged by the public, because no court of law which possesses the power to bring this (or even any) case on the MH17 murders, is willing to do so, and because the evidence in this 17 July 2014 case has become overwhelming, and is unrefuted. **This evidence is accepted by both sides.** But it still remains effectively hidden from the publics in the United States and its allied countries. (The present news-report, which is the first ever to present this entire case, is submitted to all news-media in English-speaking countries, so that any of them that wishes to provide its audience access to this uncontested and conclusive evidence in the MH17 case can do so, by publishing this article. Any of them that won't, don't want their audience to have access to the conclusive evidence in this case, because this article is being made available to all of them to publish, free of charge; so, there is no other reason not to publish it.)

The complete evidence will be described, and all of the conclusive evidence is linked-to, proving who perpetrated, and who demanded, the shoot-down on 17 July 2014 of the Malaysian airliner MH17.

This article will start by demonstrating the most important thing, and will demonstrate it by means of *links to the most conclusive evidence of all*. This is the evidence which absolutely proves that the official Netherlands-headed investigation into this matter is an intentional and utter fraud — a fraud which has already been conclusively answered and exposed by the Russian Government. (Netherlands headed the investigation because 196 of the 298 murder-victims were Dutch.) **Russia's response provided, in excruciating detail, not only clear disproofs of the Netherlands-headed investigation's conclusions of Russian guilt, but also** (and on the basis of *the very same evidence* that the official investigation had made public on 24 May 2018) provided the still-unrefuted (but nonetheless still effectively hidden) **proofs of Ukraine's actual and incontestable guilt, in this mass-murder.** This evidence, of the Netherlands team's fraudulence, carries the investigation a large part of the way toward its ultimate conclusion, regarding whom the person was who had demanded Ukraine to commit this crime.

Incidentally, the Netherlands Government had partially funded the coup that in February 2014 overturned Ukraine's Government and installed the new regime, which regime is allied with the United States Government and actually perpetrated the MH17 shoot-down. The Government of Netherlands is not a neutral in this case that it is judging. It had helped install the present regime in Ukraine. In fact, as you can see here, Netherlands' Government had been the largest single contributor to Ukraine's Hromadske TV, which was propagandizing to exterminate the residents in Ukraine's former Donbass region, which breakaway region had voted over 90% for Ukraine's Democratically elected President, whom [Obama's coup](#) had just

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattigen

overthrown. This operation in Ukraine is an extension from [the corrupt Nazi Prince Bernhard's having established in Netherlands in 1954 the secretive Bilderberg group to coordinate NATO's efforts for the US and its allies to conquer](#) ultimately the world. He got [caught in 1976, for one of his skimming operations, a million-dollar kickback from Lockheed Corporation](#). Holland's Deep State is anything but benign.

So, Russia's response, on 17 September 2018, used that Netherlands-headed team's own documentation, to disprove that team's attribution of guilt to Russia, and to *prove **conclusively** Ukraine's guilt* as having been the actual perpetrator of this mass-murder. Thus, the Netherlands-headed team includes the actual perpetrator, Ukraine, and not only the Netherlands Government, which had helped overthrow Ukraine's prior and democratically elected Government and bring Ukraine's current regime into power in February 2014, just months prior to the MH17 shoot-down, which resulted from that [US coup](#).

Most readers who click onto the links here will be shocked. What will shock them is the evidence, because it has not been published in The West (except summarized in less than a half-dozen obscure news-media — and, even there, generally not documented, such as it is here).

The links will document and fully prove this stunning turnabout, from Russia to Ukraine. The documentation that was cited by Ukraine and Ukraine's fellow team-member (the team's leader) Netherlands, against Russia, on May 24th of 2018, contained previously unrecognized details (which were first pointed out in the Russian presentation on September 17th of 2018) which irrefutably convict Ukraine. Consequently, Russia's response was ignored in The West, despite that presentation's having been based upon the very same items of evidence that had been introduced by the Netherlands-led team on May 24th. Thus, the items of evidence, there, are the same that the Netherlands-led team had themselves provided. The items of evidence here are not in *dispute*.

The current article will be the first-ever to hone-in on the especially shocking key data in Russia's data-packed September 17th response, the key evidence that Russia was calling attention to there, and which prove Ukraine's guilt beyond any reasonable doubt — prove it on the basis of the very same evidence that had been introduced by Ukraine's own team in their presentation four months earlier. Using the other side's evidence to convict that other side is what makes this denouement the stunning turnabout that it is.

The Netherlands-headed Ukrainian *team still refuses to answer* the Russian presentation, which responds to the Ukrainian team's May 24th presentation. Western 'news'-media have likewise almost completely ignored Russia's response. ([One Dutch medium](#) did report on it but dismissed it by focusing on a subordinate part: their report said and focused on "Russia now claims that the video images the investigators used to track the missile's transport to the Ukraine, were manipulated." However, the part of Russia's presentation that will be discussed in the present article was being entirely ignored in that Dutch news-report, which, as you will see here, has nothing to do with *any* claim of manipulated evidence. Britain's [BBC](#) likewise focused-in on the "manipulated evidence" that Russia's presentation had attacked. The *Washington Post* instead headlined "[Who spread disinformation about the MH17 crash? We followed the Twitter trail](#)", and it focused-in on how polarized the public is over the MH17 case. The West's 'news'-coverage was virtually entirely

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattingen

misdirection and disinformation, as you will recognize from what follows here. And the evidence here is linked-to, so that you can see it for yourself.)

Russia's response documented beyond any question, at all, that this airliner was shot down by the Ukrainian Government, and that Western (i.e., US-allied) 'news' media have been and are *covering-up this crucial historical fact and The West's still-ongoing lies about the downing of MH17*.

Those lies are the basis of US and EU anti-Russia sanctions, which remain in effect despite the basis for those sanctions having been exposed unequivocally, on September 17th, to be based on lies. Thus, continuing to *hide* those lies is crucial to the liars. This is the reason why Russia's blazingly detailed presentation on September 17th has been virtually ignored — to protect the actually guilty. The evidence here proves that those sanctions, *themselves*, are nothing but frauds against the public, and crimes against Russia — ongoing additional crimes, which have been, and remain, effectively hidden till now.

The reader can see and consider here all of the conclusive evidence in the MH17 case — it can be reached via the present article's links. **Unlike the 'news'-reports in The West's 'news'-media, the presentation here is not presuming readers' trust, but is instead providing to all readers access to the actual evidence** — evidence that is accepted by both sides. That's what the links here are for: examination by *any skeptics*.

Skepticism in judging anything is not only good; it is essential to justice. Trust should *never be given*; it should *only be earned*. Otherwise, no democracy can function. Only dictatorship can function in a country that's controlled by lies, and by liars. Liars are believed by people who have faith in them. Thus, faith in anything or anyone can poison judgment. The way to test the case that is presented here is to click onto a link wherever one wants to see and examine the evidence. Without examining (usually by spot-checking) the evidence, no reader can intelligently judge any case. Dictatorship is almost inevitable in a country where spot-checking of the actual evidence isn't *the norm*. Most 'news'-media don't even enable such spot-checking. This is why 'news'-media are so often actually propaganda-media instead.

So, here's the complete MH17 case, for any reader to judge:

The last announcement from the official investigation, the Netherlands-headed "Joint Investigative Team" (JIT), was on 24 May 2018, and it headlined "[Update in criminal investigation MH17 disaster](#)". It said:

The JIT is convinced that the BUK-TELAR [missile and launcher] that was used to down MH17, originates from the 53rd Anti Aircraft Missile brigade (hereinafter 53rd brigade), a unit of the Russian army from Kursk in the Russian Federation. ... This fingerprint has been compared with numerous images of BUK-TELARS, both Ukrainian and Russian ones. The only BUK-TELAR on which this combination of characteristics also was found, is a BUK-TELAR that was recorded several times when it joined a convoy of the 53rd brigade on 23 – 25 June 2014.

Consequently, the JIT presumes that within the 53rd brigade and within the circle around it, people have knowledge about the operation in which that particular BUK-TELAR was deployed. ... Already in September 2016, the JIT disclosed that MH17 was downed with a BUK missile of the 9M38 series. ...

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattingen

The missile engine's casing shows the number 9 0 1318869032.

Typical of Western 'news'-media's coverage of that presentation, was CNN's report the same day, May 24th of 2018. It was headlined "[Missile that downed MH17 'owned by Russian brigade'](#)". It stated: "'At the time this area was under control of pro-Russian separatists,' said Fred Westerbeke, chief prosecutor of the National Prosecutor's Office of the Netherlands. The Buk launcher of the 9M38 series 'was transported from the territory of the Russian Federation and was returned to that territory of the Russian Federation afterwards'."

The Ukrainian side claimed they had finally found evidence which would enable them definitively to place the blame for the MH17 shoot-down on Russia. So, the very next day, May 25th, Britain's Telegraph bannered "[Netherlands and Australia call for compensation for MH17 victims as they accuse Russia of downing plane](#)" and reported that "Australia and the Netherlands have said they hold Russia legally responsible for the downing of Malaysia Airlines flight 17 over Ukraine in 2014 and will seek reparations for relatives of the 298 people killed." This demand against Russia was coming "the day after the Dutch-led international investigation concluded that the Russian military had deployed the Buk surface-to-air missile that shot down the plane."

Four months later, on 17 September 2018, the Russian Ministry of Defense youtubed its response, which is titled "[Briefing on newly discovered evidence pertaining to the crash of the MH17 flight](#)". It presented the actual history of the Buk missile and launcher which Ukraine and the other Governments on the JIT said had brought down the MH17. (The JIT includes four countries, Netherlands, Ukraine, Belgium, and Australia, with a fifth, Malaysia, having been brought in only later, after it finally agreed to **allow Ukraine a veto over any conclusions that the team will publish**. Malaysia's participation started on 4 December 2014; but whether Malaysia has actually been [allowed to play a role in the 'investigation' isn't clear](#).) Russia, during the intervening months after the JIT's May 24th presentation, had tracked down all of those serial numbers, [8868720](#) and [1318869032](#), and [9M38](#), and found (as **you can see there by clicking on each, especially onto the "Briefing" itself**) that after the acquisition of the launcher and missile, by Ukraine in 1986, from Russia, that missile and its launcher had *always, and constantly since their transfer to Ukraine in 1986, remained in Ukraine*, and never again were located in Russia. So: if the JIT's supplied evidence is authentic — which the Ukrainian team asserts it to be — then it *outright convicts* Ukraine. This is an evidentiary checkmate, against the Ukrainian side.

—

With the passage now of years, the precise cause of the shooting-down of the Malaysian passenger plane MH17 on 17 July 2014 has been becoming clearer and clearer, despite the rigorous continuing attempts by Western 'news' media to cover it up and to hide from the public the growing and by-now *irrefutable* evidence (presented here) that clearly shows what and who actually brought down this airliner.

In the years since I headlined on August 24th of 2014 the news, "[MH-17 'Investigation': Secret August 8th Agreement Seeps Out: Perpetrator of the Downing in Ukraine, of the Malaysian Airliner, Will Stay Hidden](#)", the key fact about the official 'investigation' has actually been that the Government of Ukraine was, on 8 August 2014, granted veto-power over any official finding which would be produced by the Joint Investigative Team. On 20 November 2014, Rus-

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattingen

sian Television headlined "[Dutch government refuses to reveal 'secret deal' into MH17 crash probe](#)" and reported that Holland's science-publishers Elsevier had filed for this information under that country's Freedom of Information Act, and the Government simply refused to comply with that law. The leaders of Western nations apparently want the black-box and much other basic data in their possession to remain hidden, and the four nations had signed this secret agreement to allow the Government of Ukraine to block any report that incriminates Ukraine in the MH17 shoot-down. But additional evidence has nonetheless become public, and all of it confirms and adds yet further details to the explanation that was first put forth by the retired German Lufthansa pilot Peter Haisenko, whose independent investigation had concluded that Ukrainian Government fighter-jets intentionally shot down this civilian plane. He did not rule out the possibility that a Buk missile had simultaneously been used there, but he made clear that at least one fighter-jet had been used in this shoot-down.

However, if those parts of a Buk missile, which were the focus of the Netherlands team's presentation on May 24th, were indeed retrieved from the crash-site as that team claims, then a Buk missile had also hit the MH17. Serious question would nonetheless exist as to whether that Buk was fired by troops who were working for Ukraine, or instead for Russia (or else for Donbas separatists who were working in conjunction with Russia, which was [Ukraine's and America's original version of the event](#)).


Precisely what the method was, by which the direct perpetrators brought down the MH17, has gradually become clearer, despite this continuation of Western secrecy (and Ukraine's veto-power over the 'findings') regarding the contents of the black boxes, and of the US satellite images, and of the Ukrainian air-traffic-control radar recordings, and of other evidence-sources that **are still being held secret by The West and not made available to their 'news' media nor to anyone outside a tight official circle** of those Western nations' intelligence agencies.

But now, Russia has actually — on 17 September 2018 — exposed the outright *fraudulence* of the JIT's 24 May 2018 presentation, and The West (the US Government's allies) entirely ignored the conclusive evidence that that presentation by the JIT itself actually contained and to which Russia was pointing, so that there can no longer be reasonable doubt about The West's intentional and still ongoing fraudulence regarding the entire MH17 matter.

Also entirely ignored in the Ukrainian team's 'explanation' of the event is why Ukraine's air-traffic control had guided the MH17's pilot to fly over the conflict-zone where Ukraine's civil war was being waged and where Ukraine's war-planes were bombing. The MH17's pilot [was instructed by Ukraine's air-traffic control to take that path instead of the one that the airline had planned and that had become normal during the civil war](#). This was highly abnormal, and it doomed the MH17. Clearly, only Ukraine's Government could, and did, do that — change the route, and for only that one plane. Yet, still, the Netherlands-headed team blames Russia and is trusted in The West, but Russia is not. (Now, why would that be?)

Russia has constantly been releasing its own investigations regarding MH-17; and, in the process, Russia on September 17th not only provided further details as to how the downing actually happened (it wasn't by mistake, as the West contends it was), but they have also, in prior presentations, exposed the absurd impossibility of the Ukrainian Government's 'explanation' of this event (that only a Buk had been used), which is the 'explanation' that is still being parroted

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattingen

unquestioningly and unflinchingly by officials in Washington, Europe, and NATO, and also by Western 'news' media. (As my news-report on 24 August 2014 explained, [that secret August 8th agreement was signed by the four governments which formed the JIT team and which had been handed by Malaysia the black boxes to study — Ukraine, Belgium, Australia, and Netherlands — and the JIT granted to the Ukrainian Government a veto over anything that the team's official report would say](#). This is probably the reason why the subsequent officially released report on those black boxes said essentially nothing. It was a brazen insult to the 298 victims' families. The presumption has been that all of them will have faith, not be skeptical, regarding the JIT team.)

Though Russia doesn't possess those black boxes (which, [by chance, were handed by the pro-Russian separatists to the Malaysian Government's representative, and yet that Government handed them to Netherland's Government instead of to Russia's](#) — apparently trusting Netherlands more than trusting Russia or even themselves), Russia does possess, and publicly reveals, evidence that's conclusive on its own; and it is 100% consistent with Haisenko's reconstruction of the event, regardless whether a Buk was involved or not. Russian Television had issued in October 2014 a 25-minute documentary on the event, and it starts with people whom they interviewed in that region, who were describing their having seen at least one and perhaps two planes rising toward the airliner, and then the airliner coming down from the sky. Other witnesses told them that they saw an SU-25 fighter plane take off in that general area just minutes before the airliner came down.

FIRST, THE MISSING BBC REPORT:

The BBC had previously posted to their website on 23 July 2014, just six days after the event itself, [a news report in Russian via their Russian service](#) (fortunately archived by Global Research), about the downing, but they quickly removed it without explanation. Fortunately, however, some Russian-speakers had managed to download it before it was yanked; and at least two of those downloads were posted to youtube, the first one having been posted there on 28 July 2014, with English subtitles, and with the headline, "[UKRAINE Eyewitness Confirm Military Jet Flew Besides MH17 Airliner: BBC Censors Video 25Jul2014](#)" (It's gone now, but, actually, several witnesses, and not just one, were interviewed there — there wasn't just one "Eyewitness".) Furthermore, Global Research posted on 10 September 2014 a transcript of it, headlining, "[Deleted BBC Report. 'Ukrainian Fighter Jet Shot Down MHI7', Donetsk Eyewitnesses.](#)" (The video itself is still at youtube and it will be linked-to just below here, so that you will be able to view it.)

The interviews by the BBC were done by their reporter Olga Ivshina.

(Also see <http://archive.is/vFoh9>) She had filmed local residents in the crash-debris area. In one passage of her 23 July 2014 news-report, there were two residents simultaneously who described what they had seen. One of them said, "And there was another aircraft." The other continued immediately, in order to describe the other plane, "a military one, beside it ['it' being the airliner]. Everybody saw it. It was proceeding underneath below the civilian one."

Here is the second, and clearer, version of that clip. (It's the one that's **still live at youtube**.) The key portion on it is at 0:38-042 in the video:

<https://youtu.be/C812MrH6TK4>

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattingen

That was the 9 September 2014 repost of it, with the same subscript-translation into English, only the visual is sharper.

And here is an apology, dated 25 July 2014, by the BBC, for their having removed their original video of this interview — and yet they still didn't repost it; they still continue to blockade it; even today the only versions available, of these, the earliest recorded interviews of people who said they witnessed the event, are the independently posted ones, but here is the BBC's apology:

http://www.bbc.co.uk/russian/blogs/2014/07/140725_blog_editors_bbc_story_republished.shtml

Here, then, is that BBC apology google-translated into English: <http://archive.is/kc291>

So: clearly, *BBC has done all that they could to remove evidence*, which they had mistakenly broadcast, which had fit the retired Lufthansa pilot Peter Haisenko's reconstruction of the event, and which contradicted the US-Ukrainian reconstruction of it — the reconstruction that Western 'news' media project, and on the basis of which US President Barack Obama won from the EU stiff increases in, and [subsequent extensions of](#), the economic sanctions against Russia, all on the basis of lies.

(Subsequently, on 17 December 2018, South Front headlined [“EDITORIAL BOARD DEMANDS BLOOD’: INSIDE LOOK AT HOW BBC TRIES TO FIND PROOF OF RUSSIAN INFLUENCE ON YELLOW VEST PROTESTS”](#), and they reported that Ivshina had texted to a BBC stringer, on the streets of Paris, instructions of what story-lines were wanted by BBC management regarding the “Yellow Vests” demonstrations against French President Emmanuel Macron, [“Yes, I’m searching for the angles”](#))) [The editorial board wants blood, yo\)\)\)](#) . “And if you find these ultra-rightists [at the protests], will they talk about Putin and Moscow? Well, at least the Russians go to the protests, right?” Ivshina was instructing her French stringer what to look for, in order for her to be able to report the type of ‘news’ that her bosses wanted to publish. Perhaps Ivshina had been chastised in 2014 and had learned to never again be caught reporting anything that challenges the UK Government’s anti-Russia propaganda-line.)

So, this valuable eyewitness-testimony to the MH17 event is available despite Western ‘news’ media (or, more-accurately, propaganda-media), and the reason for the news-suppression is clear to anyone who views [that BBC 23 July 2014 report](#), which presents several eyewitnesses, interviewed separately as individuals, *not as a group*, and yet all of whose testimonies — perhaps despite Ivshina's wish for them not to say this — report having observed *the very same basic narrative, of at least one military jet rising toward the airliner just before it came down.*

In other words: it is clear that BBC had yanked this report because it didn't confirm the West's story-line, which says that Ukrainian pro-Russian separatists had fired a “Buk” ground-based missile at the airliner, thinking that the civilian plane was a Ukrainian Government war-plane about to bomb them and their families. But, first of all, the Ukrainian Government was virtually admitting there that they [were bombing these villagers](#), which means that they were perpetrating an ethnic cleansing operation there, which [indeed that Government was doing](#); but, secondly, the Ukrainian Government's statement also *acknowledged that if the event had happened in that way, it would*

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattingen

have been unintentional, a tragic accident on the part of the rebels there. (The JIT's line now is that it was instead an outright Russian attack against the MH17.)

So, then, why did “the international community” respond with massive economic sanctions against *Russia* on account of this downing — by, as it turns out, Ukraine? The whole Western propaganda position was designed for a public of sheer fools, if not of outright psychopathic ones, who cared not a bit about the plights of the victims of an ethnic-cleansing campaign. They cared only about victims in “The West.” The West’s basic story-line doesn’t make sense without recognizing that we were financing [ethnic cleansing](#) to clear the land in southeastern Ukraine, and that any support that Russia would be providing to those separatists would have been defensive in nature, not offensive. Yet Russia gets the blame when this passenger jet goes down? Even though Ukraine’s air-traffic control had guided the pilot there? In any case, that story-line of Russian guilt is false, from start to finish. And now (at least after 17 September 2018) it is finished. But Western ‘news’-media still continue to broadcast the lies, as if it weren’t.

Here is how outright *ludicrous* it actually is, and sound reason in itself that anyone in the military had to have known, from the very get-go, that the “Buk” ‘explanation’ was a line of pure malarkey:

THE RUSSIAN DOCUMENTARY:

The 22 October 2014 Russian documentary was titled, “[MH-17: The Untold Story.](#)” and it presents, among much else, videos of several “Buk” missiles being fired on other occasions, just to show how utterly ludicrous the initial Ukraine-US-and-allied ‘explanation of the MH17 event was. On [5 November 2014](#), I summarized that, with screen-shots from the Russian documentary.

So, when even the BBC’s reporter wasn’t able to find anyone in that entire region who recounts having seen anything of the sort, just how likely would the Ukrainian Government’s line on that matter — that not only was this done by a lone Buk but it was fired by (at first) pro-Russian separatists, and (then) by the Russian army — actually be? Obviously, any person with any military knowledge whatsoever had to have recognized virtually immediately that the Ukrainian Government’s story-line on the MH-17 downing was a pile of sheer malarkey, but did anyone in the Western ‘news’ media report that it was — that the Western line there was not just a lie, but an absurd one, one that requires an ignorant public in order for it to be able to be taken seriously at all by the public? One that requires an ignorant public, to *remain* ignorant? This is supposed to be the Western ‘news’ media, with a free press, and a democracy, a truthfully informed citizenry, who can vote based upon truths, not on mere lies?

Here is the way that the Russian TV documentary opens:

Several of the locals there told Russian TV’s reporter that they had seen a military jet rise toward the airliner; and not a one of these individuals were any of the same ones who had testified the exact same thing to the BBC’s reporter, whose news-piece had been squelched by her managers.

HERE IS HOW MH17 WAS SHOT DOWN:

Now, to the substance of the explanation of how this plane was actually brought down:

Earlier, I had summarized the evidence for Peter Haisenko’s reconstruction of the event, but I questioned his having accepted the eyewitness testimony to the effect that the planes that shot

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattigen

down the airliner were SU-25s. In Haisenko's Russian TV interview, he stuck by his belief that it was probably SU-25s instead of SU-27s or Mig 29s, both of which are also in the Ukrainian Air Force, and all three of which use 30-millimeter machine-guns or "cannons." But since the fact is that all three of those attack-plane models use machine-guns ("cannons") with 30-caliber bullets (which is the size that clearly was used, especially on the cockpit), the effect would be identically-sized round 30-caliber entry-holes, no matter what. My last major report on that evidence, prior to the 8 August 2014 formation of the JIT and their mutual agreement to report nothing that would be incriminating to Ukraine's Government regarding the MH17 incident, was "[Systematically Reconstructing the Shoot-Down of the Malaysian Airliner: The Guilt Is Clear and Damning.](#)" That basically fills in (and the links, in that report, document with pictures and videos that) the actual way that this plane was downed, and that *why* it was downed was "to get the EU to go along with stiffened sanctions against Russia". Obama (via [the regime that he had installed in a February 2014 coup in Kiev](#)) succeeded there in getting the international sanctions against Russia that he had been wanting. Obama, and certainly not Putin — and now we know it wasn't Russia at all (not even if a Buk was involved) — was the key person behind this. The 298 MH17 murder-victims on 17 July 2014 were murdered by Barack Obama (via his agents such as [Victoria Nuland — she ran Obama's Ukrainian operation](#)), just as clearly as (if not even more clearly than) Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman al-Saud (via his agents at the Saudis' Istanbul Consulate) murdered Jamal Khashoggi on 2 October 2018.

International actions (such as economic sanctions) are based upon such fabrications, and 'evidence' taken out of its full context, as this from the far-right *Forbes* [commentator Paul Roderick Gregory](#), but there are no such fakes, nor out-of-context items of evidence, in the case that has been presented here. That's the difference between news-reporting versus propaganda; but, in the United States and EU today, propaganda passes as if it were 'news,' and authentic news that doesn't fit the regime's cooked-up narrative is suppressed entirely. The scandal isn't just Obama, and it's not just Ukraine; it is also the propaganda-organs, and even (though to the least extent) their audiences who subscribe to such lying 'news'-media.

Western governments, and their 'news' media, are treating their citizens, their own publics, not really as citizens, but as suckers. They are treating them as subjects, instead of as citizens. This is not authentic democracy. It is neo-feudal; it is, in fact, fascism.

The entire "Buk" 'explanation' of the downing of the Malaysian airliner (the idea that *only* a Buk missile caused the physical wreckage which was found) is for suckers only; and everyone in official circles, and in the press, who peddles it, is just as fake as the ridiculous story-line that he or she is peddling. To fall for it, after being provided all of the authentic evidence, which has been linked-to here, one would have to be a willing slave to [psychopaths](#). In this case, the psychopath was Obama, who not only had [perpetrated a bloody coup to overthrow the democratically elected President of Ukraine in February 2014](#) but who also was now struggling, and had a very pressing obsession, to get the EU to accept his sanctions against Russia for its having accepted the pleas of Crimeans (who had voted 75% for that President) to become restored again to Russia. The 14 July 2014 mass-murder that was set up to be blamed against Russia was Obama's trick that enabled him to win his way on this.

CONCLUSIONS:

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattingen

By no means do we know every detail about how the MH17 was shot down, but **what we do now know for certain is that the narrative for that event which was supplied by Ukraine's team on May 24th — the official account of how it happened — isn't just false; it is outright fraudulent.** Ukraine's team supplied evidence which, if it is authentic, actually convicts Ukraine. And Western 'news'-media hide this crucial fact.


So, now, we know why Western governments have hidden, instead of making available to the public, the black-box data and the other evidence that they still refuse to provide to the public. They are aiming to scam the public, not to inform it. Lying is their game. They might call it 'patriotism.' Traitors would likely do that. Traitors to *any* country could do it. And, so, they do. Their believers constitute their political base.

Unfortunately, anyone they fool becomes their tool, and everyone else is purely their victim — helpless to oust (much less, to replace) the tyrants who make things bad for everyone but themselves and their colleagues, the insiders at the very top.

Just the day before the MH17 shoot-down, Bloomberg News had headlined, on 16 July 2014, "[EU Readies Russia Sanctions Amid US Pressure on Ukraine](#)", and reported that "the US urges the bloc to take a tougher stance against Moscow." The day before that, on July 15th, Bloomberg's headline had been "[EU Leaders Weigh Sanctions Against Russia Over Ukraine](#)", and that report opened, "European Union leaders meeting in Brussels will consider expanded sanctions against Russia over the Ukraine conflict, as the US urges the bloc to take a tougher stand against Moscow." Was the July 17th event only coincidentally timed perfectly in order to achieve what Obama was determined to produce: the first Ukraine-based anti-Russia sanctions? The [regime that Obama had installed in Ukraine in February 2014](#) needed not only his support, but also the support of the IMF (in order to obtain loans), and of the EU (which it was seeking to join). There was probably even more pressure placed upon the leaders in Ukraine than there was upon the leaders in the EU. But there was plenty upon them both. The EU was widely reported to be balking at increasing the sanctions against Russia. Obama needed the EU to approve quickly his increased sanctions, so as to keep the momentum going for [his entire anti-Russian campaign, which had been the reason behind his February 2014 coup in Ukraine](#). Something dramatic now was needed, in order for Obama to win the EU's full cooperation. After all, [Obama had secretly started at least by 2011 his operation to take over Ukraine](#). This operation was, for him, one of the central objectives of his entire two-term Presidency. Ukraine — and Ukraine alone — now had within its power the capacity to deliver to him the EU's participation. Ukraine delivered it, precisely when it was the most urgently needed. This was essential in order for Ukraine to be able to enter the EU. And entering the EU would be essential in order for Ukraine to be able to enter NATO — the next key step in the Bilderbergers' plan.

Continuing the sanctions is easier than originally imposing them was. On 22 December 2018, UAWire headlined "[EU extends economic sanctions against Russia](#)" and reported that on December 14th, the EU's sanctions against Russia, which are based upon alleged Russian aggressions in or against Ukraine, are being extended: UAWire noted "These measures were initially introduced on July 31, 2014 for one year in response to Russia's actions to destabilize the situation in Ukraine, and then strengthened in September 2014." The EU supports, and participates in, the US regime's lies and sanctions against Russia. [These crimes and lies started as Obama's, but](#)

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattigen

continued under his successor Trump, and have been also the EU's crimes throughout by the EU's joining, instead of condemning, not only those sanctions but also the lies upon which those sanctions are based. The EU thus indirectly shares the US Government's guilt in the mass-murders that occurred on 17 July 2014.

Perhaps the survivors' families and Malaysia Airlines (which is owned by the Malaysian Government), and their Governments, will file both civil and criminal charges now initially against Ukraine and its President Petro Poroshenko, and ultimately against Victoria Nuland, Barack Obama, and the United States, but also against the Dutch Government, for its collusion with the United States Government in its fraudulent 'investigation' that had pre-established blame against Russia. (However, the secret agreement that Malaysia signed to join the JIT might prohibit Malaysia from joining such suits.) Netherlands pre-established Russian blame especially by means of its 8 August 2014 secret four-party agreement (joined later by Malaysia's Government) to allow Ukraine, an actual suspect in this case, to hold veto power over the assignment of blame in this entire matter. However, not only the survivors of the 298 victims should be suing, but all of the victims should be represented in this case. There were also many violations of international laws. Obama's coup against Ukraine was one such. The MH17 shoot-down resulted from that coup, couldn't have occurred without it, and was an extension from it. That coup is thus an important part of the MH17 case.

On 20 September 2017, the now 5 countries in the JIT signed a joint "Memorandum of Understanding" saying "Arrangements for signatories and other grieving nations to make financial contributions to the national proceedings in the Netherlands will be laid down in a financial memorandum of understanding," and that "This Memorandum will remain in effect for five years and will be automatically extended for successive five-year periods." So, they intend to continue their 'investigation' into MH17 until they can present to the world evidence that Russia did it. Perhaps before that happens, however, all of the victims and their children will already have passed away and this fraud and farce will finally end, as secretly as it began, and only few people will even care, anymore, about it.

Or will victims and their families, instead, initiate whatever legal proceedings they can, *right now*, against all members of the JIT, for their cover-up, and against the ringleaders, in the US, who demanded this mass-murder to be done, and against the perpetrators in Ukraine, who actually ordered and did it?

Maybe they'll even be able to get Barack Obama to return to the Nobel committee their 2009 Peace Prize. (But this is in Rothschild control, so forget it!)

The US regime masterminded this mass-murder in order to win the EU's support for sanctions against Russia, and the EU knowingly complied, and continues to comply, with the American regime's ongoing aggressions and lies against Russia. The 298 MH17 murder-victims are thus not only the US regime's victims, but vicariously victims also of the EU — and not, *at all*, of Russia. Russia was instead the real intended target of the possible Ukrainian Buk missile, and of the Ukrainian fighter-jets, that brought down the MH17. The MH17 victims were merely "collateral damages" in the US regime's secret decades-long and ongoing anti-Russia war. This is how today's America competes in the world, by playing very dirty, and getting away with it, helped by its allies, which endorse, and join in, the US regime's atrocities.

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren



Etienne van Rattigen

Now, which major news-media in The West will report these solidly documented facts? Isn't it time, finally, that they should start doing that? Or, do they have no honor, at all?

A lie is a truth which takes account of its surroundings

Het wordt tijd dingen te veranderen die niemand wil en kan accepteren